

LAS VEGAS, NV 89128

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,789	03/26/2004	Mark Lynch	MNDSPD.0027P	9620
53388 75	590 04/28/2006		EXAM	INER
WEIDE & MI	LLER - MINDSPEED		PATEL, PA	ARESH H
7251 WEST LA	AKE MEAD BLVD.			
SUITE 530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			*
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/810,789	LYNCH, MARK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paresh Patel	2829	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status .			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	February 2006.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	ı
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 19-23 is/	are withdrawn from conside	ration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>11-18,24-29</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirem	ent.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	·	•	l).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	. ·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies no	i received.	
Attachment(s)		,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of group III and fig. 3 (claims 11-18) in the reply filed on 02/16/2006 is acknowledged. However, Restriction requirement of the last office action further extended in view of the new claims 24-29 filed with election of above groups.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 11-18, drawn to a package test device, classified in class 257, subclass 678.
 - II. Claims 24-29, drawn to a package test device, classified in class 267, subclass 678.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because of a test die comprising a nonfunctional die having a top layer, wherein top layer further comprising one or more conductive traces extending over the top surface. The subcombination has separate utility such as by itself or in a different combination.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2829

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paresh Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-1968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paresh Patel

04/26/06

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2829

April 26, 2006